

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,997	10/11/2001	Michael J. Greenside	100110073-1	3308	
75	90 10/05/2004	EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LEE, JINHEE J		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C			2831		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{k}$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers		09/976,997	GREENSIDE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jinhee J Lee	2831			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earner	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, aply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status	_					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>					
2a)⊠ —	,—	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are pending in the app	lication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
-						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
•	on Papers	orden or orden or orden				
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)∐ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	?		
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.	oadon).		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	phonity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
יין רי ויווסוווו	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1449) Paper NO(S)	6) Other: .				

Application/Control Number: 09/976,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bostrom et al. (US005856632).
- 1. Re claim 1, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: a filler panel body (40, card cage shielding contactor for example); and a locating element (lead-in flap 82 for example including part of 42) coupled to said filler panel body, said locating element adapted to orient said filler panel body with respect to said computer chassis (20) such that interference generating movement of said filler panel body is reduced (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138. Regarding the limitation of "for enclosing a region of a computer chassis which does not have a printed circuit assembly therein", it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Art Unit: 2831

Re claim 2, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: an attaching device (fastener 30) adapted to be coupled to said filler panel body, said attaching device for removably coupling said filler panel body to said chassis (see figure 1). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 3, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: an electromagnetic interference shield portion (40 for example) coupled to said filler panel body, said shield portion adapted to prevent EMI leakage from said chassis (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 6, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: said locating element with head portion (unnumbered portion, part of 42 for example); an insertion portion (82 for example) coupled to said head portion, said insertion portion adapted to be inserted into an opening in said chassis to reduce said interference generating movement of said filler panel body with respect to said chassis (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,997 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

Re claim 7, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: said locating element coupled to said filler panel body such that said head portion is flush with said filler panel body (see figures 1-2 and 8).

Re claim 8, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: said locating element with a retention portion (unnumbered portion between 82 and part of 42 for example) coupled to said head portion and adapted to enhance coupling of said locating element and said filler panel body (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2831

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostrom et al.

Re claim 4, Bostrom et al. substantially discloses an assembly as set forth in claim 1 with said locating element coupled to said filler panel body at a location such that said locating element will insert into a mounting hole disposed on said chassis. Bostrom et al. does not explicitly disclose that the assembly is in accordance with a compact peripheral component interconnect standard. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the assembly that is in accordance with a compact peripheral component interconnect standard, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments and amendments filed 7/9/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding "for enclosing a region of a computer chassis which does not have a printed circuit assembly therein", note that, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jjl

DEAN A. REICHARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800